

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/890,470	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIKUYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter A. Hruskoci	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Jeffrey Knapp Reg. No. 45384.

**Date of Interview:** 16 October 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
35 USC 103 rejections as stated in the prior Office Action dated 2-26-03

Claims discussed:  
All

Prior art documents discussed:  
Prior Art of Record

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 4, 7-10, and 14-17 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the test results shown in Tables 2 and 6 of the instant specification.


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)